Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/046,313	YOKOYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander H. Spiegler	1637	

All Participants:

(1) *Alexander H. Spiegler*.

(2) *Vincent Shier*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *17 November 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
3, 5-6, 12 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:
None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicants' representative, Vincent Shier, authorized the following proposed Examiner's amendment, thereby placing the application in condition for allowance:

In Claim 3, after "oligonucleotide primer pair", delete, "composed", and insert -- consisting -- .

Claim 5 (Currently Amended) The process according to Claim 3, which is a detection method, wherein said amplifying is performed in the presence of an oligonucleotide probe which has a sequence that is complementary to at least a portion of the RNA transcription product, resulting from said amplification and is labeled with an intercalator fluorescent pigment, and changes in the fluorescent properties of the reaction solution is measured, with the proviso that the labeled oligonucleotide and has a sequence different from first oligonucleotide and the second oligonucleotide in the sequence said oligonucleotide primer pair, wherein changes in the fluorescent properties of the intercalator are measured.

Cancel Claim 6.

Claim 12 (Currently Amended) The process of claim 3, wherein the activity corresponding to said RNA-dependent DNA polymerase, said DNA-dependent DNA-polymerase, and said ribonuclease H are each exhibited by the same enzyme process is performed using a single enzyme having RNA-dependent DNA polymerase, DNA-dependent DNA-polymerase, and ribonuclease H activity.

In Claim 15, after, "oligonucleotide", insert -- probe --.